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The no-touch vein graft for coronary artery bypass surgery preserves the left ventricular ejection fraction at 16 years postoperatively: long-term data from a longitudinal randomised trial

Benny Johansson,1 Ninos Samano,2 Domingos Souza,2 Lennart Bodin,3 Derek Filbey,2 John D Mannion,4 Leif Bojö5

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To assess the left ventricular heart function and the clinical outcome 16 years after coronary artery bypass surgery.

Design: In a randomised trial, the no-touch (NT) vein graft in coronary artery bypass surgery has shown a superior patency rate, a slower progression of atherosclerosis and better clinical outcome compared to the conventional (C) vein graft at 8.5 years. All patients at mean time 16 years were offered an echocardiographic and clinical examination.

Results: In the NT-group 34 patients and in the C-group 31 patients underwent an echocardiography examination. A significantly better left ventricle ejection fraction was seen in the NT-group compared to the C-group (57.9% vs 49.4%; p=0.011). The size of the left atrium in NT was 21.7 cm² compared to 23.9 cm² in C; p=0.034. No patient in NT had atrial fibrillation compared to five patients in C (p=0.021). Patients with a brain natriuretic peptide value (BNP) ≥150 was 30% in NT–5 years postoperatively, resulting in a graft occlusion rate of 15–20% during the first year,1 followed by a progressive atherosclerosis that usually starts at 3–5 years postoperatively, resulting in a graft occlusion rate exceeding 50% after 10–15 years and a freedom from angina of less than 40% after 10 years.2–7 As a consequence, during the past 10 years there has been a trend towards an increased use of arterial conduits in coronary artery bypass surgery. However, in an ongoing prospective randomised study the new no-touch (NT) vein graft preparation technique has shown a superior patency rate that is comparable to left internal mammary artery (LIMA), a slower progression of atherosclerosis and a better clinical outcome compared to conventional vein grafting at mean time 8.5 years.8–10

INTRODUCTION

Major problems using vein grafts in coronary artery bypass surgery grafting (CABG) are acute thrombosis and intimal hyperplasia. This leads to a graft occlusion rate of 15–20% during the first year,1 followed by a progressive atherosclerosis that usually starts at 3–5 years postoperatively, resulting in a graft occlusion rate exceeding 50% after 10–15 years and a significant increase in the size of the left atrium, a lower BNP and no atrial fibrillation and 12% in NT and C respectively.

Conclusions: The NT vein graft preserves the left ventricular ejection fraction after 16 years. A smaller left atrium, a lower BNP and no atrial fibrillation indicates an improved diastolic left ventricular function in the NT-group.

Trial registration: The study is registered with clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01686100) and The Research and Development registry in Sweden (no. 102841).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study group
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What is already known about this subject?

▸ The no-touch vein graft that is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue and not dilated has shown a superior patency, a slower progression of atherosclerosis and better clinical outcome compared to conventional vein graft surgery.

What does this study add?

▸ No-touch vein grafts preserve left ventricular ejection fraction at 16 years postoperatively.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

▸ Cardiac surgeons might consider using the no-touch technique in harvesting the vein grafts.
Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery, Örebro University Hospital, Sweden, 156 patients were randomly allocated by a block-randomisation technique, into three groups of 52 patients each for investigating different techniques for harvesting the saphenous vein: in group C (conventional technique) the saphenous vein was stripped of surrounding tissue and distended for 1 min with a pressure of 300 mm Hg (measured on a manometer); in group IM (intermediate) the SV was dissected as in group C. Instead of manual distension, the vein was left in situ and covered with a sponge moistened in saline-papavarine solution; in group NT (no-touch technique) the saphenous vein was harvested together with its cushion of surrounding tissue and was not distended. The saphenous vein was harvested by the same senior surgeon in all of the cases.

A cineangiographic, clinical and an intravascular ultrasound follow-up examination have been performed at mean time 1.5 and 8.5 years postoperatively. The local ethics committee approved a third follow-up and patients were included after informed consent was re-obtained at 16 years. All patients alive in the C-group and the NT-group (37 in each group) were offered a follow-up at 16 years postoperatively comprising a complete echocardiographic examination of the heart, including the left ventricular systolic and diastolic function, as well as a complete clinical follow-up assessment of recurrent angina, myocardial infarction, new revascularisation, functional class, risk factors and medical treatment. The examiner was blinded to group assignment. The intermediate group was not followed up at 8.5 and 16 years due to financial limitations and to the fact that this group showed the lowest patency rate at 1.5 years follow-up.

The study is registered with clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01686100 and the Research and Development registry in Sweden: 102841.

Echocardiographic evaluation
An echocardiographic examination was performed in 34 patients in the NT-group and 31 patients in the C-group. The remaining patients (three patients in the NT-group and six patients in the C-group) were not able to participate in the study and perform the echo examination due to comorbidities (dementia, stroke, blindness and difficulties in walking). The left ventricular ejection fraction (EF) was estimated using the Simpsons modified biplane method in apical four-chamber view and two-chamber and a calculation programme in the cardiac ultrasound machine automatically calculated the EF as \((\text{end diastolic volume} - \text{end systolic volume}) \times 100\). The size of the left and right atrium in \(\text{cm}^2\) was calculated in the four-chamber view by tracing the areas manually at end systole using a caliper incorporated in the echo system. The size of the atriums was also corrected for the body surface.

The left ventricular diastolic function was assessed by evaluating the E-wave (early phase) and A-wave (atrium contraction) Doppler signal (m/s) of the blood flow over the mitral valve during diastole in the four-chamber view. The E/A-ratio was calculated. The tissue-Doppler signal amplitude \(e’\) was assessed from the myocardium just below the atroventricular-plane in the left ventricle in the four-chamber view. The E/\(e’\)-ratio was also calculated; E is the maximal flow velocity in the early mitral inflow in the left ventricle and the \(e’\) is the average of the septal and lateral tissue-Doppler signal amplitude in the position above. A commercially available echocardiography system GE Vivid E 9 ultrasound system from GE Healthcare, General Electric Company, USA was used and a 3.5 MHz transducer. All measurements were performed using the incorporated calculation programme in the ultrasound machine.

Clinical evaluation
The 16 years clinical outcome of all patients in the C-group and NT-group was established. In deceased patients the cause of death was established from the Swedish death registry and patient files. Thirty-seven patients in both groups underwent a clinical follow-up. The patients were assessed with respect to recurrent angina using the CCS (Canadian Cardiovascular Society)-classification I–IV, functional class using the New York Heart Association (NYHA)-classification I–IV, occurrence of myocardial infarction and new revascularisation during the follow-up period. Cardiovascular risk factors, complete lipid status, \(\beta\)-glucose, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and blood pressure were established. Hypertension was defined as blood pressure \(>140/90\) mm Hg, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) \(>2.5\) mmol/L was considered as hyperlipidaemia. A BNP value \(>150\) ng/L was defined as having the highest diagnostic accuracy.

Statistical analysis
Differences between the two randomised groups were tested with Student’s t test for independent samples as concerns quantitative variables assumed to follow a normal distribution. An analysis for all three time-points simultaneously was performed with a General Linear Model (GLM) for repeated measures as concerns the EF. In this analysis the gradient over whole follow-up period from preoperative status to 16 years was analysed for group differences in slope. This analysis was supplemented with Student t test for each one of the evaluation time-points and in this case the Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was applied. Student t-test was also applied for analysis of other clinical outcomes but then only for the available data at the 16-years follow-up. For qualitative variables as well as dichotomous variables Fisher’s exact test and extensions of the Fisher test was applied. We performed this analysis with algorithms especially adopted for small samples. p Values <0.05 are considered statistically significant. The statistical programs StatXact, V.8 (http://www.cytel.com/software/statxact) and SPSS, V.20 (http://www.ibm.com/articles/statistics-softwares/StatXact) and SPSS, V.20...
RESULTS
The basic clinical characteristics of the patients at 16 years follow-up are presented in Table 1.

The number of smokers was low, the number of patients with hypertension (>140/90 mm Hg) was high as well as the number of patients with fasting β-glucose >6.1 mmol/L (impaired fasting glycaemia) although the number of patients with known diabetes was lower. Patients with a BNP value ≥150 was 30% in NT compared to 38% in C. The use of β-blockers was high and the use of aspirin and statins were very high in both groups. The serum-LDL (S-LDL) levels were elevated in both groups, 2.5±0.8 mmol/L in group NT and 2.7±1.1 mmol/L in group C. However, there were no statistically significant differences in the cardiac risk factors or medication between the two groups.

Echocardiographic results
The results are seen in Table 2. In the NT-group 34 out of 37 patients and in the C-group 31 out of 37 patients underwent an echocardiography examination.

Figure 1 shows the mean ejection fraction and its gradient for all patients who underwent EF-estimate pre-operative, after 8.5 years and after 16 years. The GLM analysis with repeated measures for all three occasions showed a significant group difference, p=0.034 regarding the slope of the gradient. This result was due to the lower decline over the 16 years for the NT-group and at the last evaluation the EF for the NT-group was 57.9±9.5% and for the C-group 49.4±13.3%, with a Bonferroni adjusted p value of 0.011 for group difference. All 34 patients in the NT-group had sinus rhythm compared to 26 out of 31 (83.9%) in the C-group (p=0.021). In the patients with sinus rhythm and no mitral valve disease the left atrium was significantly larger in the C-group (23.9±3.4 cm²) compared to (21.7±3.4 cm²) in the NT-group (p=0.03) even when the size...
of the left atrium was corrected for the body surface (12.0±1.8 cm² vs 10.9±2.1 cm²; p=0.039).

Tissue Doppler results as well as the mitral valve inflow expressed as E, E/A-ratio and the E/e₀-ratio are presented in table 2. There were no significant differences in any of these variables between the two groups.

**Clinical outcome**
Total mortality was 27% (14/52) in group C and 25% (13/52) in NT (table 3). The cause of death was established from the Swedish death registry and patient files. Eight patients in C and nine patients in NT died from non-cardiac-related causes (cancer, renal failure, lung fibrosis, ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm, infectious diseases or stroke).

Six cardiac-related deaths in group C, 12% (two acute myocardial infarction, four heart failure) and four in group NT, 8% (three acute myocardial infarction and one heart failure).

Recurrent angina occurred in 14 patients in the NT-group compared to 17 patients in the C-group. Five of the patients in the NT-group suffered from an acute myocardial infarction compared to 10 patients in the C-group (p=0.27). Ten patients in the NT-group underwent an ischaemia-driven cine-angiogram compared to 13 patients in the C-group. Eight patients in both groups had a PCI-revascularisation either in a vein graft, native coronary artery or both. In the remaining patients no PCI procedure was possible and they were treated medically.

Twenty-three patients in the NT-group were free from angina compared to 20 patients in the C-group. In the NT-group, 18 patients out of 23 were classified as NYHA-class I versus 10 patients out of 20 in the C-group. However, this difference may be misleading due to other comorbidities such as stroke, intermittent claudication, back pain, hip and knee problems which greatly impacted the physical capabilities of these patients.

**DISCUSSION**
The primary observation of this study is that the left ventricular systolic function in the NT-group is persevered at mean time 16 years. This is in line with our earlier

---

**Table 2  Echocardiography results in patients at 16 years follow-up. Mean values±SD except for E/e₀ ratio >15, where n and per cent are used**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No-touch group (n=34)</th>
<th>Conventional group (n=31)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left ventricle (systolic function)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction (%) (Simpson biplane)</td>
<td>57.9±9.5</td>
<td>49.4±13.3</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left ventricle (diastolic function)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve Doppler inflow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E‡ (m/s)</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A* ratio</td>
<td>1.7±1.2</td>
<td>1.7±1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tissue Doppler†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e₀diastole septalt (cm/s)</td>
<td>6.6±2.1</td>
<td>6.3±2.0</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e₀diastole lateralt (cm/s)</td>
<td>9.1±2.4</td>
<td>8.8±3.0</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/e₀ ratio</td>
<td>11.6±3.9</td>
<td>12.2±4.9</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/e₀ ratio &gt;15, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (14.7%)</td>
<td>7 (22.6%)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus rhythm</td>
<td>34 (100%)</td>
<td>26 (83.9%)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left atrium, for sinus rhythm only</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in (cm²)</td>
<td>21.7±4.3</td>
<td>23.9±3.4</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in (cm²)/m² (body surface adjusted)</td>
<td>10.9±2.1</td>
<td>12.0±1.8</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E/e₀ ratio=blood Doppler velocity/tissue Doppler velocity.
*A=Atrial contraction velocity.
†e₀=Tissue Doppler velocity.
‡E=early mitral inflow velocity.

**Figure 1  Ejection fraction preoperatively and at follow-up in conventional (C) and no-touch (NT) groups. Statistical significance for difference between groups at 16-year follow-up, adjusted for prevalues, is shown by p1. Lines indicate gradient for ejection fraction from preperation to 16-year follow-up and p2 shows statistical significance between groups with respect to gradient. Two hundred and eight observations on ejection fraction for 83 patients, number of observations for each assessment is shown in the figure.**
findings by intravascular ultrasound,10 at 8.5 years that the process of atherosclerosis is delayed in the vein grafts prepared using the no-touch technique. The improved patency rate is the most likely cause of this preserved left ventricular EF in the NT-group as there were no difference between the two groups according to known cardiac risk factors including lipid status.

Compared with a well-known long-term follow-up study using mostly arterial revascularisation, Achouh et al14 reported a lower EF after 9.2 years, 55% compared to 56.7% preoperative, p=0.02.

The evaluation of the diastolic left ventricle function is very complex and can only be achieved clinically in less than one-half of patients,15 even in the hands of experts.16 17 In this study it is possible to obtain some indication of the diastolic function. Atrial size has been a surrogate for diastolic dysfunction in patients with normal left ventricular systolic function.18–21 Diastolic dysfunction has also been shown to predict atrial fibrillation.21 In this study the patients in the NT-group had a significantly lower left atrial size (p=0.034), the percentage of patients with a BNP ≥150 was lower in the NT-group and no patients in the NT-group suffered from atrial fibrillation versus 16.1% of patients in the C-group (p=0.021). This suggests a trend for a higher degree of diastolic dysfunction in the C-group.

Already by 8.5 years of clinical follow-up there were significantly more asymptomatic patients in the NT-group.9 At 16 years this pattern seems to continue, although not statistically significant and the comorbidities of the patients decrease the absolute benefit. The number of patients with recurrent angina was higher in the C-group and the number of patients suffering from an acute myocardial infarction was also doubled in the C-group, despite the fact that there was no difference between the two groups in the treatment of the known risk factors. The percentage of cardiac-related deaths was 12% in C-group and 8% in NT. However, there was no difference in PCI-revascularisation. We think that the issue of whether hard cardiac end points are influenced by NT vein grafting will be addressed in an ongoing multicenter study, where a larger number of patients will be studied. (SUPERIOR-SVG, clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01047449)

If we want to compare the clinical long-term results for the patients in the NT-group with total arterial revascularisation reported by others,22–24 we must make the comparison after approximately 8 years, the longest present follow-up for most all-arterial studies. The arterial revascularisation studies have shown a 7-year survival rate of 88–91% compared to 94% in group NT, freedom from cardiac death was 92–96% vs 100% in group NT, freedom from myocardial infarction 92–97% vs 98% in group NT, freedom from recurrent angina 85–92% vs 75% in group NT, freedom from any cardiac event 78–85% vs 74% in group NT. Puskas et al25 showed that the use of bilateral internal thoracic artery had a significantly increased survival rate at 8 years compared to the usage of a single internal thoracic artery (89.3% vs 68.3%) irrespective the diabetic status of the patients. Achouh et al14 had a total survival rate of 80% and a cardiac-related mortality of 7% after 9.2 years.

Lockert al26 showed (in matched groups) a significantly better 15-year survival in the arterial revascularisation group compared to the LIMA + saphenous vein group (70% vs 60%). The cardiac-related mortality was not commented in this study. In our study the 16 years survival was 75% in NT and 73% in C with 8% and 12% cardiac-related mortality respectively.

It is well known that the treatment of the traditional risk factors after CABG is extremely important to slow down the atherosclerotic process in the vein grafts and the native coronary arteries. In this study we found at 16 years a low number of smokers, 10–15%, but the number of patients with hypertension, although treated, was as high as 20–30% and the amount of patients with elevated fasting β-glucose >6.1 mmol/L was high in both groups. This by definition is considered to be impaired fasting glycaemia and is a known risk for future development of diabetes and cardiovascular disease.

Probably the most important risk factor is the lipid levels. Despite a high number of patients treated with statins (94.6% in group NT-group and 86.5% in group

---

**Table 3 Clinical outcome at 16-year follow-up**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients and outcome</th>
<th>No-touch group</th>
<th>Conventional group</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Included at study start, n</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mortality, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (25)</td>
<td>14 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which were cardiac related</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss to follow-up at 16 years, n</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up at 16 years, n</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent angina, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (38)</td>
<td>17 (46)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>10 (27)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemia driven cine-angiogram, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (27)</td>
<td>13 (35)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous coronary intervention, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina-free patients, n (%)</td>
<td>23 (62)</td>
<td>20 (54)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA-class I, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (78)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance by Fisher’s exact test. NYHA, New York Heart Association.
C), the S-LDL levels were still elevated in both groups, (2.5–2.7 mmol/L). However, this was a significant improvement compared to the results from 8.5 years follow-up in the same study where the LDL level was 3.2–3.4 mmol/L. The percentage of patients reaching LDL \( \leq 2.5 \text{ mmol/L} \) was 53.9% in the NT-group and 61.3% in the C-group at 16-year follow-up, compared to only about 25% after 8.5 years. This improvement in lipid control is important since a previous post-CABG trial showed that aggressive reduction of LDL-cholesterol below 2.5 mmol/L, compared to moderate lowering to about 3.5 mmol/L, significantly reduced the progression of atherosclerosis in grafts. However, the accepted goal for optimal LDL-treatment according to European guidelines from 2010 in this category of patients is \( \leq 1.8 \text{ mmol/L} \). This is obtained in 20–25% of the patients in both groups at 16-year follow-up.

We have no doubt that the preparation of saphenous vein grafts plays a vital role in the long-term result of CABG and that harvesting the grafts with the no-touch technique is a crucial step in that direction. This has even been mentioned in the latest ESC/EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularisation, where the no-touch technique is recommended if the saphenous vein is harvested with an open technique.

**Limitations of the study**

The major limitation of this study is its small size. The study was initially designed to evaluate differences in graft patency between the NT-group and the C-group and not differences in the clinical or echocardiographic outcomes. Some preparative baseline data were not available at the last follow-up and could not be accounted for in the final analysis. We also would like to mention that three patients in the NT-group and six in C-group did not perform the echocardiography at 16 years due severe comorbidities that hindered them from participating in the study. The statistical analysis was performed only on 35 patients in NT and 34 in C and did not account for the nine missing patients. We can only speculate that if the remaining very ill patients had been able to perform the echocardiography we would have obtained a lower EF for most of them with a bigger chance of increasing the differences between the groups (three in NT and six in C), that is, if the missing patients caused a bias it would probably favour the conventional group.

Some concerns have been raised about the conventional harvesting technique in this study. It is not unusual to dilate the saphenous vein to 300 mm Hg or more especially when dealing with small-caliber vessels with severe spasm after harvesting. A recent study showed a pressure rise under manual dilation of saphenous veins to \( \geq 200 \text{ mm Hg} \) in 29% of cases, \( \geq 300 \text{ mm Hg} \) in 16% of cases and \( \geq 400 \text{ mm Hg} \) in 21% of cases (the individuals performing the harvesting were totally blinded about the amount of pressure that was reached during distention 2). Another study mentioned that the saphenous veins are dilated to over 600 mm Hg before implantation. However, recent awareness of the mechanical damage caused by manual dilation has probably led to a more gentle approach during the preparation of the saphenous vein. In our study, the patency rate of the conventionally harvested veins was 89% after 1.5 years and 77% after 8.5 years. This is higher than what previously has been reported (10-years patency rate of the saphenous vein graft is about 40–60%).

**CONCLUSION**

The long-term echocardiographic follow-up showed that the NT vein harvesting technique provides a preserved left ventricular EF after mean time 16 years and an inferred improved left ventricular diastolic function as compared to the conventional harvesting technique in CABG.
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